

Diego Rodriguez
1317 Edgewater Drive #5077
Orlando, FL 32804
(208) 891-7728

**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ADA**

ST. LUKE’S HEALTH SYSTEM, LTD; ST.
LUKE’S REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER,
LTD; CHRIS ROTH, an individual; NATASHA
D. ERICKSON, MD, an individual; and TRACY
W. JUNGMAN, NP, an individual,
Plaintiffs,

vs.

AMMON BUNDY, an individual; AMMON
BUNDY FOR GOVERNOR, a political
organization; DIEGO RODRIGUEZ, an
individual; FREEDOM MAN PRESS LLC, a
limited liability company; FREEDOM MAN
PAC, a registered political action committee; and
PEOPLE’S RIGHTS NETWORK, a political
organization,
Defendants.

Case No. CV01-22-06789

**DEFENDANT DIEGO RODRIGUEZ’S
PROPOSED STATEMENT OF THE
CASE**

A. PLAINTIFFS’ PROPOSED STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I, Diego Rodriguez, am a defendant in this case. The Plaintiffs in this case, by virtue of their legal team and their inside connections with other corrupt members of the court, government, and special interest groups, have recklessly engaged in this lawsuit which is defined as a S.L.A.P.P. suit—a baseless lawsuit designed to silence political opposition.

As noted by the First Amendment Encyclopedia (<https://www.mtsu.edu/first-amendment/article/1019/slapp-suits>) “*A SLAPP suit, or strategic lawsuit against public participation, is a civil claim filed against an individual or an organization, arising out of that*

party's speech or communication to government about an issue of public concern. At the heart of the SLAPP suit is the petition clause of the First Amendment.

*'SLAPP' was coined to recognize lawsuits filed to silence critics. A SLAPP suit may look like a civil lawsuit for defamation, nuisance, interference with contract, interference with economic advantage, or invasion of privacy, but its purpose is different. About this purpose, Judge J. Nicholas Colabella wrote in *Gordon v. Marrone* (N.Y. 1992), "Short of a gun to the head, a greater threat to First Amendment expression can scarcely be imagined."*

After having my Grandson, Baby Cyrus, illegally kidnapped at the point of a gun by corrupt Meridian Police Officers and taken to St. Luke's Hospital, where he was forcefully held away from his parents, INTENTIONALLY in order to gain profit and make money from Federal Payments that are made available to St. Luke's hospital for having possession of kidnapped children from the Department of Health and Welfare, I began to protest and expose St. Luke's hospital, the Meridian Police Department, and the Idaho Department of Health and Welfare.

I said publicly that the plaintiffs were participants in a **government subsidized child trafficking ring**. And it's true, they are. We've proven it publicly and anyone can see the documentation for themselves on the website, **babycyrus.com**.

The world knows now that CPS is a government subsidized child trafficking operation. More specifically, the Idaho Department of Health and Welfare receives payments from the federal government through Social Security Title IV, every time they forcefully kidnap a child. The evidence shows that my grandson was ILLEGALLY kidnapped and held in St. Luke's possession, when St. Luke's themselves knew that he was not in "imminent danger" and there was not a shred of evidence that Baby Cyrus was in imminent danger. This is the act of kidnapping.

They held him, forcefully keeping him away from his parents, because they earned money to do so. These are facts. And not a single representative from St. Luke's hospital has denied these facts, nor could they deny them. They get paid when kidnapped children from CPS are put in their possession. It's as simple as that.

Every claim I made about them is true. Period. Therefore there is no defamation case against me that could succeed if our court system was true and just.

However, you members of the jury should know that Judge Lynn Norton who previously presided over this case has struck all of my responses in this case from the record. I have written over 50 pages of detailed responses demonstrating the corruption of this court, judicial misconduct, criminal behavior by the plaintiff's attorneys, the general lies and false claims of the plaintiffs, and more—and the judge will not let you see it. You should ask yourself, why? And how could that be allowed in America? How is this case just, when the defendant's responses are now stricken from the record and hid from the jury?

Likewise, Judge Lynn Norton has specifically ordered that I am not allowed to present any evidence contrary to the allegations made by the plaintiffs against me. Do you feel that is just? Is that fair? What is the point of a court case if evidence is not allowed to be brought to the case and showed to the jury?

The plaintiff's attorneys have whined that I have not properly responded to discovery requests. But the discovery requests that I rejected were ones that simply had nothing to do with this case. They were not relevant and would not lead to any admissible evidence. They were requested simply to harass me and cause me injury and to waste my time.

On the contrary, the Plaintiff's likewise denied my discovery requests—the difference is that my requests that they rejected were entirely relevant and would have definitively lead to admissible evidence. For example, the Plaintiff's refused to provide me with:

1. The amount of money and total payments they received for having Baby Cyrus, my grandson, in their possession.
2. The number of children who have died in their care.
3. The amount of money they receive on an annual basis from having children in CPS in their possession.
4. The amount of people who died on ventilators at their hospital.
5. The amount of money Chris Roth has earned in comparison to previous CEOs.
6. How much money they received from Federal COVID payments.

Quite simply, any and all evidence that they can easily produce, that would demonstrate that all the claims I made against them are 100% true—they refused to produce.

Remember, there is no defamation if what I stated was true, or if I believed it to be true. So there can be no defamation in this case. And everything I have stated about them was true and continues to be true to this day!

The fact that proper discovery was not provided to me and the court did nothing about it (yet sanctioned me for not providing irrelevant discovery), and that I have been prohibited from providing evidence that would demonstrate that the plaintiffs are wrong, and that all of my answers to this case have been completely stricken from the record demonstrate, beyond any shadow of a doubt, that this court is corrupt and that this case is a fraud. And any jurors participating in this case are likewise participating in corruption.

B. DEFENDANT REQUESTS THAT MY ANSWER TO THE FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT BE READ IN IT'S ENTIRETY TO THE JURY

Let the jury know the truth. Don't hide facts from them. Let them hear the entirety of my response so they can make determinations based on actual facts and not the lies presented to them in the complaint itself.

DATED: July 9th, 2023

By: /s/ Diego Rodriguez

Diego Rodriguez

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify I served a copy to: (name all parties or their attorneys in the case, other than yourself)

Erik F. Stidham (ISB #5483)
HOLLAND & HART LLP
800 W. Main Street, Suite 1750
Boise, ID 83702-5974

☐ By Mail

☐ By fax

☒ By Email/iCourt/eServe

DATED: July 9th, 2023

By: /s/ Diego Rodriguez

Diego Rodriguez